FAMILY LAW FOR PARALEGALS

Eighth Edition

J. Shoshanna Ehrlich

Chapter One MARRIAGE AND COHABITATION

In this chapter, we will discuss:

- the historical origins of marriage
- restrictions on marriage
- formalities of marriage formation
- what is common law marriage
- the legal rights of cohabiting couples

Marriage: Regulating the Relationship

- The modern legal approach to marriage is to permit spouses to shape the contours of their own relationship, but this is a fairly recent development.
- Historically, the law carefully defined the mutual rights and obligations of husbands and wives based upon highly gendered notions of appropriate marital conduct.

Historical Origins of Marriage Laws

- Common law origins
- Civil law tradition
- Married women's property acts
- Modern moves toward legal equality in marriage

Choosing a Spouse

Although state laws no longer define marital rights and obligations based upon assumptions regarding the proper role of men and women, they still play a role in shaping our understanding of the marriage by imposing certain restrictions on an individual's choice of marital partner What 1967 case was struck down by the U.S. Supreme Court regarding anti-miscegenation laws prohibiting interracial marriages?

Loving v. Virginia



Marriage as a Fundamental Right

- ► Focusing on the racial hatred that had motivated passage of anti-miscegenation laws, the Court held that Virginia had violated the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment by restricting an individual's choice of marriage partner based on racial classifications.
- It also held that, under the **due process clause** of the Fourteenth Amendment, marriage is a *fundamental right*

Loving v. Virginia

- Loving v. Virginia is a landmark civil rights case wherein the U.S. Supreme Court, in a 9-0 vote, struck down Virginia's anti-miscegenation law, which prohibited interracial marriage between white and "colored" persons.
- ► When *Loving* was decided, Virginia was one of 16 states that still prohibited interracial marriage.
- ➤ This was monumental as this case marks the first time that the Supreme Court limited the authority of a state to regulate entry into marriage.

Marital Rights of Same-Sex Partners

- In 2013, in the case of *United States v. Windsor* the Supreme Court invalidated the section of DOMA that defined marriage for purposes of federal law as being exclusively between a man and a woman.
- In 2015, in the groundbreaking case of *Obergefell v.*Hodges the Court made clear that there is but a single category of marriage that includes both heterosexual and same- sex couples alike.

Obergefell v. Hodges

- The groundbreaking case of Obergefell v. Hodges was brought by 14 same-sex couples and two men whose partners had died.
- Rooting its decision the line of fundamental right to marry cases, the Court held that the well-established constitutional rule that marriage is fundamental applies with "equal force to same-sex couples" based on four essential principles.
- In so holding, the Court rejected the argument made by the defending states that the plaintiffs were not seeking to "exercise the right to marry but rather a new and nonexistent 'right to same-sex marriage."
- The Court thus made clear that there is but a single category of marriage that includes both heterosexual and same-sex couples alike.

Restrictions upon Entry into Marriage

Some common marriage restriction laws include:

- Criminal incest laws
- Prohibitions on multiple marriages
- Marital age restrictions

Do all states have bigamy or polygamy laws?

Yes. All states prohibit a person from having more than one spouse at a time. The term bigamy describes the situation where a person enters into a second marriage while his or her first marriage is still in effect.

The term polygamy applies to the situation where an individual (most commonly a man) has multiple spouses at the same time. A marriage contracted in violation of the "more than one spouse at a time" prohibition is void and may subject the participants to criminal prosecution.

Marriage Formalities: Procedural Requirements

- Marriage license
- Blood tests
- Waiting periods
- Ceremony performed by an authorized person
- Recording of marriage license

Common Law Marriage

- ► A common law marriage is created by the conduct of the parties in the absence of a formal ceremony.
- A well-established English practice, most American colonies accepted common law marriage as a practical reality in a new country whose scattered populace made access to religious and civil officials difficult.
- Modern definition of common law marriage is the voluntary union of two persons, as spouses, to the exclusion of others.

Common Law Marriage

- Establishment of a valid common law marriage requires three elements:
 - ► A mutual agreement to become spouses
 - Cohabitation
 - ▶ Reputation in the community as spouses

Legal Changes and Benefits that Come with Marriage

- Each partner becomes formally connected to the family of the other
- The right to a range of third-party benefits
- The legal obligation of each spouse to support the other
- Formal process for dissolving the relationship, with rights to property and support

Legal Recognition of Nonmarital Couples

- Although certainly not nearly as controversial as was the struggle for marital rights for same-sex couples, the extension of legal rights to unmarried couples has nonetheless triggered debate.
- ▶ In 1976, in the landmark case of *Marvin v. Marvin*, the door to the courthouse was opened for the first time to cohabiting partners seeking to sort out their affairs upon the dissolution of a relationship.

The Marvin Decision

- The doctrine of severability—the court found a way to enforce the parties' legitimate expectations without appearing to embrace nonmarital sexuality.
- Express contracts—the court made clear that express contracts between unmarried partners are enforceable, based on considerations of fairness.

Property Rights Upon Dissolution of a Cohabiting Relationship

- States continue to draw clear lines between marriage and cohabitation; however, in contrast to the past when the courthouse door was shut to cohabiting couples, virtually all states allow a partner to seek support and property rights upon the dissolution of a cohabiting relationship.
- Recovery is typically rooted in contract theories.

Contracts

- Most couples do not sit down and negotiate a contract regarding the support and property rights they will have if they break up.
- Courts therefore often infer agreements based on the conduct of the parties during their relationship.
- In contract parlance, an agreement that is inferred from conduct is referred to as an implied-in-fact contract.
- In the context of cohabitation, a court might find an implied agreement to share accumulated assets because a couple made purchases from a shared account or commingled their possessions.
- Some courts might also consider a partner's nonfinancial contribution (e.g., homemaking services) that preserves and enhances the value of the couple's property as evidence of an intent to share in the accumulation.